



6th Engineering Graduates Research Conference (EGRC), September 5, 2025

POSTER PRESENTATION SCORING RUBRIC

Judge's Name:

Presenter's Name:

Poster #:

Score Key: 0 = No Attempt 1 = Developing 2 = Competent 3 = Exemplary

Please rate the poster/presenter from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one):

1. Statement of Research Problem/Rationale:

a) Clearly stated questions or hypotheses being addressed	0	1	2	3
b) Well-explained rationale/justification for the study	0	1	2	3
c) Project objectives are clearly outlined	0	1	2	3

2. Literature Review/Background Theory:

a) Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed	0	1	2	3
b) Gap in knowledge/exploration identified	0	1	2	3
c) References are cited appropriately	0	1	2	3

3. Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):

a) Clear description of methods used	0	1	2	3
b) Methods are appropriate to address the aim/question	0	1	2	3
c) Methods used have been clearly compared to state-of-the-art methods	0	1	2	3

4. Analysis/Results:

a) Figures/tables used appropriately and clearly to present the data	0	1	2	3
b) Findings are presented clearly and accurately	0	1	2	3
c) Analysis is well explained and appropriately applied	0	1	2	3

5. Conclusion/Discussion:

a) Conclusions are sufficiently supported by results	0	1	2	3
b) Results are placed into the broader framework	0	1	2	3
c) Importance of findings is addressed	0	1	2	3

6. Presentation Overall:

a) Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding	0	1	2	3
b) Presenter summarized the study clearly	0	1	2	3
c) Presenter answered questions well	0	1	2	3
d) Study is innovative and has the potential to contribute to the field	0	1	2	3

Total _____ / 57

Comments: _____
